

THE NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF GENERAL PRACTICE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR JOURNAL MANUSCRIPT REVIEWERS

The Nigerian Journal of General Practice requires that manuscripts submitted for review be evaluated under the following headlines:

1. PRESENTATIONS

- a. Is the writing clear, concise and in good English?
- b. Is the title specific and in consonance with the content of the manuscript?
- c. Is the paper presented logically (e.g. correct information in each section, logical flow of arguments)?
- d. Does the abstract reflect brevity; does it reveal the purpose of the work and how it was done; does it reveal what was found and the significance?
- e. Are the figures and tables illustrative enough?

2. SCIENTIFIC QUALITY

- a. Is the title relevant for the journal and does it reflect the contents of the article?
- b. Is the study rationale adequately described in the Introduction/Background?
- c. Are the study objectives clearly stated?
- d. Were the methods appropriate for the objectives and presented in a format that make the results reproducible?
- e. Is the sample size appropriate and adequately justified?
- f. Is the sampling technique appropriate and adequately described?

- g. Are the methods and instruments of data collection described?
- h. Were techniques to minimize bias/errors documented?
- i. Are the methods of data analysis appropriate?
- j. Is statistical significance well documented (e.g. as confidence intervals or P-value)
- k. Are the findings presented logically with appropriate displays and explanations?
- l. How well are the key findings stated in discussion?
- m. To what extent have differences or similarities with other studies been discussed and reasons for these given?
- n. Are the implications of these findings clearly articulated?
- o. Do the results justify the conclusion(s)?

3. REFERENCES

- a. Are they appropriate and relevant?
- b. Are they up to date?
- c. Do they follow the recommended style?
- d. Are there any errors?

4. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- a. Were the Guiding Principles in the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals followed?
- b. For research involving human subjects, were the studies performed in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration?

5. RATING

How would you rate this article? How would you rank this article relative to other works in the same field?

6. CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS

- a. Comment on the novelty and significance of the manuscript
 - b. Comment about the suitability of the manuscript for the journal.
- Comments by reviewer will not be transmitted to the author.

7. COMMENTS FOR AUTHORS

- a. On the reviewer form, provide specific comments preferably numbered on the design, presentation of data, results, and discussion. Do not

include recommendations for publication on this page. Let your comments to the author tally with your rating of the article.

8. PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

- a. This manuscript is a privileged communication: the data and findings are the exclusive property of the author[s] and should not be disclosed to others who might use this information in their research.
- b. The manuscript, illustrations, and tables should be destroyed upon completing the review or ,if anticipating a revision, kept confidential until the review process is complete>
- c. If you have shared responsibility for the review of this manuscript with a colleague, please provide that person’s name and institutional affiliation.

9. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. The paper can be published as it is
- 2. The paper can be published with minor revisions as suggested?
- 3. The paper can be published with major revisions as suggested?
If you choose this option, do you want to review the revised paper? Yes or No
- 4. The paper is more suitable for publication in another journal
- 5. The paper is not acceptable to be published in this journal
- 6. Other impression(s)-----

Do you want your name revealed to the author? Yes or No

Please state any relevant competing interests

Please offer specific suggestions to improve the manuscript